

	egister  Strategic Outcome	ne Strategic Risk	Potential Cause and Impact	Grade	Target		get Key Controls	Mitigating actions	Board Assurrance Framework Three Lines of Defence			Gaps in control	Completion Date for	Responsible	Board	Escalation
					including change in		ney controls		Times Lines of Belense			assurance	Actions	Executive	Committee	Escalation
				L	S Rating	1			First	Second	Third	-				
<b>1</b> 1:1.1	Strategic Objective Positive patient	1	quality and safe patient care  Cause	4	4 16	12	Quality Strategy	SQD/safety thermometer data	Quality metrics in monthly	Quality report to Board	Reports from QGC to Board	Gaps in control	Completion of Quality	Director of	Quality Safety	
	experience	good quality and safe service	✓Uncontrolled urgent care demand, exceeding capacity ✓Efficiency programme impact upon safety or reduce patient safety ✓Inadequate staffing levels		Very High Risk	<u>/</u>		<ul> <li>RCA of SUIs</li> <li>Ward triangulation metrics</li> <li>Daily review of nurse staffing</li> <li>Falls reduction plan</li> <li>Sepsis reduction plan</li> <li>Specialty governance reviews</li> <li>Hygiene improvement plan</li> <li>7 day service plan</li> </ul>	business unit reviews • Quality Strategy	<ul> <li>Audit of Quality Account</li> <li>Reports from HR and OD Committee</li> <li>Annual nursing review</li> <li>Patient experience, safety and mortality committee reports escalating to QGC</li> <li>Patient Safety Meetings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reported elsewhere</li> <li>Quality monitoring with CCG</li> <li>NHSI external review (IDM)</li> <li>Contract quality review with CCG</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Implementation of hygiene improvement plan, housekeeping resource</li> <li>QIAs not yet completed</li> </ul> Gaps in assurance	milestones for the 2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme Board.	Nursing	Committee	
			Impact  ✓ Poor patient experience and standards of care  ✓ Loss of reputation  ✓ Financial penalties  ✓ Regulatory intervention/action  • Increase in complaints  • Failure to achieve Friends & Family Test percentage recommends targets					<ul> <li>Patient safety walk rounds</li> <li>Whistleblowing policy</li> <li>Nursing workforce plan</li> <li>Urgent care delivery plan including beds</li> <li>Clinical Audit Plan</li> <li>Ward Accreditation</li> <li>FFT feedback</li> <li>Complaints &amp; PALS themes</li> <li>Care Opinion feedback</li> <li>National survey</li> </ul>				<ul> <li>Insufficient backlog maintenance investment</li> <li>Absence of investment in 7 day service plan</li> <li>Unclear role of CEC for accountability</li> </ul>	;			No change
	Strategic Objective Openness and	Failure to provide	Cause	3	4 12	9	Clinical Governance	e • Compliance targets	Patient Safety and Clinical	• STP/LHAC/MTP update	Reported elsewhere	Gaps in control	Completion of	Medical Director	,	
	transparency	organisation	<ul> <li>✓ Failure to meet quality strategy standards</li> <li>✓ Inadequately maintained or obsolete infrastructure</li> <li>✓ Harm or error resulting from a failure to meet safe and responsive standards</li> </ul>		High			<ul> <li>Clinical Strategy/LHAC/STP</li> <li>Nurse recruitment and retention plans</li> <li>Service review programme</li> <li>Patient experience strategy</li> <li>Patient experience committee</li> <li>Staff engagement plan</li> <li>Leadership programme</li> <li>Job planning</li> </ul>	Effectiveness Assurance Report Quality Report. Medicines Safety Report.	<ul> <li>Reports from HR and OD Committee</li> <li>Reports from FSID</li> <li>HR/OD report</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>LHAC Programme Board</li> <li>Patient experience committee reports to QGC</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>LHAC implementation delayed</li> <li>Service review programme just initiated</li> <li>Key care pathways not yet identified for</li> </ul>	Hospital delivery and market share milestones for the 2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme Board.	and Director of Nursing	Committee	
			Impact  ✓ Poor CQC rating  ✓ Loss of reputation  ✓ Regulatory intervention/action  ✓ Significant failure of services due to prolonged loss of infrastructure					<ul> <li>Appraisals</li> <li>Service improvement programme</li> </ul>				review (STP) • Developing performance framework  Gaps in assurance				No change
												<ul> <li>STP governance structure</li> <li>Clinical Strategy implementation governance arranged</li> </ul>	I			
)3:3.1	Efficient and	Service delivery	round patients' needs <u>Cause</u>	4	4 16	12	-	Quality Governance Compliance	•Clinical Governance Review	Trust Board Committees - FSIE	*	Gaps in control	Completion of Clinical	Medical Director	Finance, Service	
	effective services	failure	✓ Failure to recognise and implement change ✓ Failure of clinical services to plan for the future and failure to modernise major care pathways ✓ Failure to recognise and manage the resistance to change ✓ Failure to recruit to high levels of skilled medical staff		Very High Risk		delivery	<ul> <li>Clinical Governance arrangements</li> <li>Perfiodically review fragile services</li> <li>Develop service review programme (GIRTH) with supporting action plans</li> <li>Strengthening clinical arrangements</li> <li>Patient Experience Committee review</li> <li>Developing and implementing Clinical Strategy</li> <li>Developing the Engagement Strategy for the 2021</li> <li>Analysis of complaints and incidents</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Performance Review</li> <li>Service Reviews</li> </ul>	QGC, WF&OD  • CMB / CEC / ET  • Medical Utilisation Group  • CSIG  • Contracting Assurance  • CCG Reporting Assurance	• LCB • NHS I / NHS E	<ul> <li>Not having an holistic review of services</li> <li>Integrated information to provide a joined up picture at service line level</li> </ul>			Improvement and Delivery Committee	
			✓ Failure to change and implement new and emerging medical technology ✓ Failure to communicate change  Impact ✓ Unsustainable services					<ul> <li>Performance clinics/reviews</li> <li>Report to Regulators</li> <li>Working with the STPs to align and integrate services</li> <li>Workforce recruitment and training</li> <li>Developing staff succession plans</li> </ul>				Gaps in assurance • Local governance • Not having an agreed Clinical Strategy				No change
			<ul> <li>✓ Poor patient experience</li> <li>✓ Poor delivery of performance standards</li> <li>✓ Failure to take account of what patients</li> <li>want</li> <li>✓ Failure to plan for the changing demand of services for increasing morbitity and ageing</li> </ul>													
20.0	F#:-i-a-i-a-i-a-i-a-i-a-i-a-i-a-i-a-i-a-i-		services				4 Death /	Delivery of 47/40 and 41/40 and 41/4	4.0.004.5	4 Fatatas Ossii 15	400045	Onne in	A Mark on	Director		
	Efficient and effective services	and maintain as statutorily required, premises where care and treatment are delivered from that are clean, suitable for the	Cause  ✓ Failure to plan effectively to deliver the built environment required for modern services  ✓ Failure to meet built environment statutory standards and best practice guidance  ✓ Failure to deliver a rolling programme of improvements	4	4 16 Very High Risk		1. Backlog/ Maintenance Capital and Revenue Investment  2. Estates Strategy	<ul> <li>Delivery of 17/18 capital backlog investment programme.</li> <li>Development of 5 and 10 year capital backlog investment programmes.</li> <li>Delivery of 17/18 revenue maintenance resources.</li> <li>Development of medium term on-going revenue resources plans.</li> <li>Finalisation of Technical Estates Strategy from draft</li> </ul>	1, 2, 3 & 4. Progress monitored through estates program governance and Estates Committee reporting to FSID.	Estates Programme Board. 3. Progress Reporting to Estate Environment Committee, Trust IPC and Trust HSC.	Reporting requirements through NHS PAM – for Trust Board Governance, National Estates performance data submissions (ERIC) and Lord Carter estates	capital / revenue to quickly resolve significant risks and high levels of backlog • Estates Strategy no	plan 17/18 financial year 2. Estates Strategy finalisation 2017/18, 17/18, backlog re t quantification 17/18	Director of Estates and Facilities	Finance, Service Improvement and Delivery Committee	
		intended purpose, maintained and where required, appropriately located, in accordance with	Failure to align current estates model to future clinical redesign Failure to invest in the built environment infrastructure to a sufficient level in both capital replacement and revenue maintenance over a prolonged period to					<ul> <li>status.</li> <li>Estates Strategy alignment with Clinical Strategy, including input to STP requirements.</li> <li>Sale of land to release resources.</li> <li>Re-quantification of backlog maintenance scale to support investment planning.</li> </ul>		4. Progress Reporting to Estate Environment Committee & Trust Sustainable Development Committee.	1.	strategy finalisation informing estates pla	Q2. 3. Revenue Compliance Plan 17/18 and on-going 4. EFM Quality 17/18 & on-going Energy and Sustainability			

			Impact  ✓Unsustainable services in Lincolnshire  ✓Loss of income  ✓Loss of reputation  Potential to harm patients, Staff and Visitors, including prolonged outage and loss of clinical facility impacting on patient safety.  Failure to comply with legal requirements leading to prosecution.			Assurance Delivery of Revenue Compliance Plan  4. Quality Governance Assurance	<ul> <li>Electrical Infrastructure.</li> <li>Mechanical Infrastructure.</li> <li>Water Safety.</li> <li>Asbestos Management.</li> <li>Fire Safety.</li> </ul> EFM Quality Patient Environment - food/ cleaning/ physical environment <ul> <li>Energy and Sustainability</li> </ul>				Programme management resources     Compliance evidence capture limited by revenue availability		
<b>S04</b> S04:4.1	Strategic Objective: Sustainable service		and motivated workforce	4 5	20 12	People Strategy +	Appraisal system	People Strategy developed with	Integrated Performance Report	• CQC	Gaps in control Completion of	Director of HR & Workforce and	
	delivery	adequate workforce	Poor workforce planning Poor workforce intelligence systems Recruitment and retention difficulties in "hard to get" skills Poor recognition and reward mechanisms Absence of new ways of working  Impact Failure to deliver sufficient capacity to meet contracted obligation Poor patient experience and outcomes Poor CQC rating, regulatory action Loss of reputation		Very High Risk	Workforce Plans	<ul> <li>Core learning</li> <li>Revised approached to medical and nurse recruitment - key priority for Trust in 2017/18</li> <li>Engagement programme</li> <li>Leadership charter</li> <li>Leadership development programme</li> <li>Engagement plan for medical staff</li> <li>Job plans</li> <li>Collective action in the East Midlands and continued efforts to turn locums into permanent members of staff to mitigate IR35</li> </ul>	five year focus on right numbers of people with right skills. People Strategy Work Programme) sets out the actions to deliver the Strategy. KPIs have been identified to reflect priority areas (of which recruitment is one),	to Board & Workforce KPIs  • Workforce and OD Committee Workforce Report Updates on progress on People Strategy  • Annual nurse establishment review  • Pulse check review by ET Work of Medical and Nursing Workforce Utilisation Groups - reviewed by ET	NHS Oversight     Internal Audit	•	OD Organisational Development Committee	No change
S05 S05:5.1	Strategic Objective: F Continuous improvement	Failure to sustain an engaged	Cause  ✓ Low levels of engagement, health and well being and satisfaction  ✓ Inadequate training, appraisals and development  ✓ Inadequate recognition of staff  ✓ Non adherence to Trust values and behaviours  ✓ Inconsistent leadership  ✓ challenges caused by changes to tax arrangements for personal companies (IR35)  Impact  ✓ Poor patient experience and outcomes  ✓ Loss of reputation  ✓ Poor recruitment and retention prospects  ✓ Poor CQC results		Very High Risk		<ul> <li>Listening &amp; Responding to Staff Task &amp; Finish Group</li> <li>Leadership development</li> <li>Recognition strategies</li> <li>Effective appraisals</li> <li>Broader communications work</li> </ul>	of 2021) with five year focus on right numbers of people with right skills, motivated and managed to perform at their best. People	Report to Board  • Workforce Report to Workforce and OD Committee  • Regular staff surveys - national and local pulse checks  • Medical engagement index to be re-run  • Staff engagement group meets regularly to review our approach	NHS Oversight	Gaps in control  Currently shaping and setting up the 2021 Programme to deliver the MTP priorities.  Gaps in assurance  Completion of Staff Engagement milestones for the 2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme Board.	Director of HR &OD Organisational Development Committee	No change
		performance	Failure to deliver contractual/national performance targets  ✓ Failure to collect and report accurate data  ✓ Insufficient workforce to meet demand  ✓ Demand exceeds available capacity   Impact  ✓ Poor quality and patient experience  ✓ Loss of reputation  ✓ Failure to meet contractual obligations  ✓ Loss of STF and/or fines/penalties  ✓ Intervention	5 4	20 12 Very High Risk	Performance Management	<ul> <li>Constitutional Standards</li> <li>Data Quality Strategy</li> <li>RTT</li> <li>Demand and Capacity Review</li> </ul>	Project governance for	Integrated Performance Report to Trust Board Contract Assurance Board Performance Review     FSID report to Board	Monthly NHSI Performance Review Meetings A&E Delivery Board	Gaps in control  Insufficient workforce to meet demand Insufficient investment to match resources to demand Insufficient bed capacity Appropriate Clinical Leadership  Gaps in assurance Data Quality reporting  PATT Recovery more than 90% Nov 2018 Cancer 62 day more than 80% Dec 2018 Cancer 62 day more than 80% Dec 2018	Officer Improvement and Delivery Committee	
	Strategic Objective: Value for money	Failure to achieve		5 4	20 12 Very High Risk	Long Term Financial Plan (2021 and STP)  2017/18 Financial Recovery Plan  3 Year Financial Recovery Plan  Two-year Operational and Financial Plan  Performance Accountability Framework	Working Capital Plan     Agreement of long term financial model - Financial Recovery Plan     Lines of financial accountability     Financial reporting to CEC, CMB, FSID and TB     Contract delivery plan     Urgent care delivery plan     Cancer, A&E plans     Efficiency programme     Service Review Programme     Agency reduction plan	Performance Accountability Management Reporting     Financial Performance Report     Financial Recovery Plan     Financial Turnaround Group     Finance Grip and Control	<ul> <li>Contract Assurance Board</li> <li>Agency spend performance review by ET</li> <li>Financial Recovery Plan</li> </ul>	• FIMS return to NHSI • CCGs • STP Financial Bridge • PerformanceReview Meeting (NHSI) • System Improvement Board (NHSI) • IDM (NHSI)	Gaps in control • Financial Management support to Directorates • Gaps in delivery of Finance Recovery Plan • Long term efficiency programme not identified • Agency costs off trajectory • No market repatriation strategy  2017/20 Financial Recovery Plan to October Board and NHSI submission 31s October Implementation of 2017/18 Financial Recovery Plan 30th November	Director of Finance, Service Improvement and Delivery Committee	

Impact     Organisational continuity of services     Trust goes into financial special measures with external intervention and regulatory action     Insufficient cash to meet liabilities and impact on operational services     Individual services not sustainable with potential for closing services with detrimental impact on patients     Loss of reputation	I Idiliewoin	Governance in development	
2 Loss of reputation			

Key

## Risk Rating Key / Source - Risk Management Policy

Likelihood								
Almost Certain	Low risk	Moderate risk	Very high risk	Very high risk	<u>Very high risk</u>			
- 5	5	10	15	20	<u>25</u>			
Likely – 4	Low risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	<u>Very high risk</u>	<u>Very high risk</u>			
	4	8	12	<u>16</u>	<u>20</u>			
Possible – 3	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	<u>High risk</u>	<u>Very high risk</u>			
	3	6	9	<u>12</u>	<u>15</u>			
Unlikely – 2	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	<u>High risk</u>	<u>High risk</u>			
	2	4	6	<u>8</u>	10			
Rare – 1	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk			
	1	2	3	4	5			
	Negligible – 1	Minor – 2	Moderate – 3	Major – 4	Catastrophic - 5			
	Severity							

Lead officers will be asked to verify the status of each risk identified within the Assurance Framework and the following colours will identify whether a risk has been updated.

