

To:		Trust Board								
From:		Michelle Rhod	, Director of Nursing							
Date:		31 st August 20								
Essential St	andards:	Health and So	d Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulatio							
		18: Staffing								
Title:	Monthly Nursing	/Midwifery Wo	rkf	force Assurance Paper for July 20)17 dat	:a				
Author/Res	ponsible Director:			es, Director of Nursing						
		Debrah Bates, D	ер	uty Chief Nurse (workforce)						
-	the Report:									
-				o demonstrate that ULHT has pr						
	~	in-patient ward	l ai	reas and appropriate systems in	place t	to manage or				
mitigate pa	tient safety risk.									
The report	triangulatos staffin	a lovole againet	20	propriate quality measures						
The report	iriangulates stailiii	g ieveis agairist	aμ	propriate quality measures.						
The Penert	is provided to the	Board for:								
The Report	is provided to the	Board for.								
						_				
Dec	ision			Discussion	Х					
				2.00000.01.						
						1				
Ass	urance	X		Information	Х					
						j				
Summary/I	(ey Points: Please	refer to the rep	ort	:						
Recommen	dations: Please ref	er to the report	<u> </u>							
Strategic Ri		er to the report		Performance KPIs year to date						
Risk Ref: 2 a	•		1	 To reduce reliance on agency st 	affing					
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			١,	 To ensure that nursing shifts 	_	illed with the				
				appropriate level of staff						
	To reduce vacancy rates									
Resource Ir	nplications (e.g. Fi	nancial, HR) Co	nti	nued expenditure on Temporary	y Staffi	ng, Potential				
increased	expenditure on I	ower producti	vit	y, increased potential for cla	ims a	nd financial				
expenditure	e due to low quality	y care provision								
Assurance	mplications:									
				tions. Potential for increased						
	achieve 100% fill r	ate and increas	ed	reliance on temporary staffing	as a re	sult of nurse				
vacancies										
Equality Im	pact									

Information exempt from Disclosure	
Requirement for further review?	

1 Introduction

This report on ULHT Nurse Staffing contains information for the month of July 2017. The report provides information on staff in post, nurse vacancies and Agency usage.

2 ULHT Staffing Information

2.1 Safe Staffing

The table below shows the UNIFY Fill Rate Indicator, which is the Trust's overall percentage fill rate of Registered Nurses and Support Worker shifts day and night compared to planned numbers for July 2017. The table shows that the fill rate remains good and meets safe staffing levels.

The figures in brackets are the previous month's figures as comparison.

Table One: NQB Average Fill Rates for Registered and Unregistered Staff July 2017

Day		Night	
Average Fill rate- Registered Nurses/ Midwives (%)	Average fill rate – care staff (%)	Average Fill rate- Registered Nurses/ Midwives (%)	Average fill rate – care staff (%)
88.20 (91.56)	100.57 (100.02)	91.70 (93.80)	99.91 (100.16)

Table Two provides a breakdown of fill rate on each hospital site (excluding Louth as no wards require data submission) with the previous months in brackets.

Table Two: NQB Average Fill Rates for Registered and Unregistered Staff July 2017 by Hospital Site

Site	Day		Night	
	Average Fill rate- Registered Nurses/ Midwives (%)	Average fill rate – care staff (%)	Average Fill rate- Registered Nurses/ Midwives (%)	Average fill rate – care staff (%)
GDH	95.30 (95.65)	91.19 (96.62)	93.09 (95.09)	94.93 (94.87)
LCH	90.50 (93.51)	101.21 (98.33)	92.01 (94.29)	98.83 (99.66)
РНВ	83.89 (88.32)	100.52 (102.78)	90.89 (92.75)	102.61 (102.12)

Table three also provides the information divided into Nursing, Midwifery and Children's nursing as below in order to present a more detailed picture;

Table Three: NQB Average Fill Rates for Registered and Unregistered Staff July 2017 by Nursing/Children & Midwifery

Safer Staffing: Summary by Site - General Nursing

Jul-17

Hospital	Total % Registered Day	Total % Unregistered Day	Total % Registered Night	Total % Unregistered Night	Totals
Grantham	95.30%	97.19%	93.09%	94.93%	95.13%
Lincoln	90.15%	101.57%	92.34%	97.31%	94.13%
Pilgrim	83.71%	104.27%	90.70%	105.29%	93.36%
Trust	88.14%	102.27%	91.86%	100.09%	93.93%

Safer Staffing: Summary by Site - Children

Jul-17

Hospital	Total % Total % Progressive Total % To		Total % Registered Night	Total % Unregistered Night	Totals
Grantham	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Lincoln	89.05%	99.13%	84.29%	131.45%	93.21%
Pilgrim	79.60%	72.50%	85.36%	78.10%	78.97%
Trust	83.84%	84.74%	84.75%	99.44%	85.81%

Safer Staffing: Summary by Site - Midwifery

Jul-17

Hospital	Total % Registered Day	Total % Unregistered Day	Total % Registered Night	Total % Unregistered Night	Totals
Grantham	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Lincoln	102.34%	98.78%	103.75%	97.28%	100.32%
Pilgrim	94.68%	83.00%	99.27%	98.97%	95.72%
Trust	97.96%	95.63%	100.93%	97.62%	98.25%

The following hotspots are highlighted on the dashboard:

• Frailty Assessment Unit, Lincoln, are reporting low registered nurse fill rates. This corresponds to high numbers of vacancies which was discussed through a risk summit and action was taken to reduce the number of beds on this unit. Use of alternate skill mix has also been considered and

- further risk summits have been called to manage this issue in the future and to look at establishments and pathways alongside the MEAU
- ICU at Lincoln are reporting low fill rates on nights. This corresponds to numbers of vacant posts. The
 template is being reviewed and the unit is recruiting newly qualified nurses in September which will
 have an impact on fill rates. Agency shifts continue to manage gaps in rotas to a safe staffing level.
- Nocton Ward at Lincoln are reporting low fill rates for registered nurses which corresponds to vacancies and maternity leave. The staffing issues within children's nursing has been escalated and region-wide actions have been taken to attempt to address the shortfall in registered nurses. Discussions and actions are ongoing.
- Stroke Unit at Boston appear to be reporting low fill rates, however, these figures do not account for the reduction in the number of beds on the unit since the fire.
- 6A and 6B at Boston are reporting low Registered fill rates on days which have been sent out to bank and agency but have remained unfilled. On these occasions staff are redeployed from other clinical areas if required.
- AMU at Boston are reporting low registered fill rates which remain unfilled when sent out to bank and agency. On these occasions staff are redeployed from other clinical areas if required.

3. Staffing Information

3.1 Vacancies

The current vacancy position continues to be a main focus and challenge in delivering the staffing needs of the wards and departments.

Table three reports latest vacancies rates. Key points to note:

- The actual number of registered nurses in post has decreased in the month of July
- The actual number of unregistered nurses in post has increased in the month of July

Table Three: July 2017 vacancy positon

VACANCY POSITION								
	Apr	-17	May	-17	Jun-	-17	Jul-	17
	Data fron	n Payroll						
	R	UR	R	UR	R	UR	R	UR
Lincoln	84.14	33.80	90.98	30.46	90.24	30.73	94.22	27.71
Pilgrim	87.60	11.34	92.63	9.58	95.73	11.26	99.07	9.60
Grantham	15.75	5.72	17.43	2.80	19.02	-0.92	20.90	-0.21
Main Site Nursing & Midwifery Sub-total	187.49	50.86	201.04	42.84	204.99	41.07	214.19	37.10
Paediatrics & Neonatal	19.77	-0.97	18.77	-0.97	19.16	-0.97	21.36	1.04
Obs & Gynae	4.79	3.82	4.23	3.55	4.23	3.55	5.83	3.55
Total	212.05	53.71	224.04	45.42	228.38	43.65	241.38	41.69
Nursing & Midwifery In Post	865.14	512.04	853.35	520.33	845.80	521.47	832.80	525.07

3.2 Recruitment

The number of student nurses who will be qualifying in September 2017 and who have been given a conditional offer of employment with the trust has fallen due to job offers in other Trusts. A piece of work is being undertaken to look at ways that we can encourage more of our students to take up employment within the Trust in future years, and to streamline the application process. The expected numbers of new starters are 59 at Lincoln, 28 at Boston and 6 at Grantham.

The plans to commence cohort recruitment for band 2 HCSW will commence in September and it is expected that cohort recruitment for Band 5 nurses will follow shortly afterwards, once the generic job description supporting these plans has been seen at an Agenda for Change job matching panel.

3.3 Reducing Reliance and Expenditure on Agency Staff

The number of agency shifts used in July has fallen which reflects a downwards trend over the past year. The number of agency shifts booked through the most expensive off framework agencies is being closely scrutinised and continues to require 'Gold' approval prior to booking. Since implementing this action, no off-framework agency nurses have been booked.

The number of shifts booked that breached Framework and price cap has continued to reduce and Heads of nursing have been scrutinising their block-booked agency shifts which are more expensive as they are generally provided by tier 4 nursing agencies.

A robust agency reduction plan has been developed with clear actions, and this is being monitored fortnightly by the Director of Nursing.

The plan has recently been refreshed and actions identified and implemented that aim to convert agency shifts to bank shifts, thus using our own staff to fill rota gaps which will have an impact on quality of care, and will reduce the cost of agency spend further. This includes incentivising bank shifts for band 5 shifts by paying these at a rate of pay point 24 on the Agenda for Change pay scale and capping the use of agency shifts with agency and overtime requiring Gold approval before being authorised. Future staffing reports will be able to provide the progress of these plans.

Table Five: Summary of July 2017 figures against Agency (framework and cap)

Staff Group	Week Commencing -	03/07/2017	10/07/2017	17/07/2017	24/07/2017	31/07/2017
Nursing, Midwifery & Health Visiting	Framework only	0	0	0	0	0
Nursing, Midwifery & Health Visiting	Price cap only	397	425	465	452	448
Nursing, Midwifery & Health Visiting	Both framework & price cap	0	0	0	0	0
Healthcare assistant and other support	Framework only	0	0	0	0	0
Healthcare assistant and other support	Price cap only	0	0	0	0	0
Healthcare assistant and other support	Both framework & price cap	0	0	0	0	0

Table Six: Agency/bank/substantive skill mix by site.

Date	12/07/20 ~	13/07/20 -	14/07/20 -	15/07/20 -	16/07/201 -	17/07/2(-	18/07/20 -	19/07/20 -	20/07/20	21/07/20 -	22/07/2 ~	23/07/2 -	24/07/2 ~	25/07/20: ▼	26/07/2 ~	27/07/2 ~	28/07/2 -	29/07/2 -	30/07/2 -
Day	Wednesda	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesda	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesd	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
Site	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln	Lincoln
Unfilled Bank requests	144.5	158.5	172.3	343	280.5	225.5	5 86	80.5	88.5	5 183.5	201	159	292.5	181.75	139.66	204	348.06	276.26	217
Filled temp staffing	432	415.75	483	350.5	459	334.17	7 397	473.66	416.6	6 404.16	443.5	439.5	283	457.5	419.5	412.16	302	413.5	426
Agency	327	357.5	382.5	296.5	318.5	269.66	296.5	376.2	348.	1 342.16	349	329	206.5	340	304	337.16	246	338	320.5
Total registered hours	2012.5	1987.25	2014	1811	1893.6	2042	2 2063.5	2088.7	2060.	6 1969.16	1959	2012.25	1902.66	2009.5	2056.25	1999.66	1856.5	1911	1945.5
Planned staffing on template	2195	2195	2195	2195	2195	2195	2195	2195	219	5 2195	2195	2195	2195	2195	2195	2195	2195	2195	2195
Required staffing	2157	2145.75	2186.3	2154	2174.1	2267.5	2149.5	2169.2	2149.:	1 2152.66	2160	2171.25	2195.16	2191.25	2195.91	2203.66	2204.56	2187.26	2162.5
Contracted hours	1580.5	1571.5	1531.0	1460.5	1434.6	1707.8	3 1666.5	1615.0	1643.9	9 1565.0	1515.5	1572.8	1619.7	1552.0	1636.8	1587.5	1554.5	1497.5	1519.5
Percentage contracted staff	73%	73%	70%		66%			74%		6 73%	70%	72%	74%	71%					70%
Total temp percentage	21%							23%	209			22%	15%						22%
Agency percentage	16%	18%	19%	16%	17%	13%	5 14%	18%	179	6 17%	18%	16%	11%	17%	15%	17%	13%	18%	16%
Total bank requests	576.5	574.25						554.16	505.10	6 587.66		598.5	575.5	639.25	559.16				
Percentage bank fill	75%											73%							66%
Total percentage staffing against required	93%	93%	92%	84%	87%	90%	96%	96%	969	6 91%	91%	93%	87%	92%	94%	91%	84%	87%	90%
Total substantive and bank	1685.5		1631.5					1712.5											1625
Total percentage staffing without agency	78%		75%	70%				79%				78%	77%						75%
Minimal staffing level	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	6 70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%
Date							718/07/2017			- ' '									
Day	Wednesda		Friday		Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesda		Friday	Saturday			Tuesday		Thursday		,	Sunday
Site	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston	Boston		Boston
Unfilled Bank requests	104											160.5							
Filled temp staffing	331.5											360.5							290.8
Agency	295.5							281.5				289.5							251.5
Total registered hours	1302.25		1317.5					1124.25				1286							1298.8
Planned staffing on template	1553.5		1553.5					1553.5				1553.5							1553.5
Required staffing	1406.25		1385.5	1364.5								1446.5		1449.25					1433.3 1008.0
Contracted Hours Percentage Contracted staff	970.8	1066.0	1010.0	959.5	949.5	981.0	1020.5	798.3	1008.	5 1002.3		925.5			1025.3	1004.5	1008.0	1009.3	
	CO0/	770/	700/	700/	700/	C00/	74.0/	C40/	con	(740/		70/		700/	C00/	C00/	CO9/	700/
	69%											64%							70%
Total temp percentage	25%	21%	23%	26%	22%	22%	25%	29%	249	6 24%	24%	28%	18%	22%	24%	26%	26%	21%	22%
Total temp percentage Agency percentage	25% 23%	21% 17%	23% 20%	26% 20%	22% 16%	22% 16%	25% 21%	29% 25%	249	6 24% 6 21%	24% 20%	28% 23%	18% 16%	22% 20%	24% 19%	26% 23%	26% 23%	21% 17%	22% 19%
Total temp percentage Agency percentage Total bank requests	25% 23% 435.5	21% 17% 311	23% 20% 375.5	26% 20% 405	22% 16% 404	22% 16% 470	5 25% 5 21% 0 415.3	29% 25% 446	249 209 449	6 24% 6 21% 9 509	24% 20% 418.5	28% 23% 521	18% 16% 365.75	22% 20% 375.25	24% 19% 447.25	26% 23% 469.5	26% 23% 468.5	21% 17% 445	22% 19% 425.3
Total temp percentage Agency percentage Total bank requests Percentage bank fill	25% 23% 435.5 76%	21% 17% 311 91%	23% 20% 375.5 82%	26% 20% 405 82%	22% 16% 404 65%	22% 16% 470 59%	5 25% 5 21% 5 415.3 6 80%	29% 25% 446 73%	249 209 449 729	6 24% 6 21% 9 509 6 63%	24% 20% 418.5 75%	28% 23% 521 69%	18% 16% 365.75 66%	22% 20% 375.25 81%	24% 19% 447.25 73%	26% 23% 469.5 76%	26% 23% 468.5 77%	21% 17% 445 60%	22% 19% 425.3 68%
Total temp percentage Agency percentage Total bank requests Percentage bank fill Total percentage staffing against required	25% 23% 435.5 76% 93%	21% 17% 311 91% 98%	23% 20% 375.5 82% 95%	26% 20% 405 82% 95%	22% 16% 404 65% 90%	22% 16% 470 59%	25% 21% 3 415.3 4 80% 4 94%	29% 25% 446 73% 90%	249 209 449 729 919	6 24% 6 21% 9 509 6 63% 6 87%	24% 20% 418.5 75% 93%	28% 23% 521 69% 89%	18% 16% 365.75 66% 92%	22% 20% 375.25 81% 95%	24% 19% 447.25 73% 92%	26% 23% 469.5 76% 92%	26% 23% 468.5 77% 93%	21% 17% 445 60% 88%	22% 19% 425.3 68% 91%
Total temp percentage Agency percentage Total bank requests Percentage bank fill	25% 23% 435.5 76%	21% 17% 311 91% 98% 1119	23% 20% 375.5 82% 95% 1057	26% 20% 405 82%	22% 16% 404 65% 90% 1017.5	22% 16% 470 59% 87% 1056.5	5 25% 5 21% 6 415.3 6 80% 6 94% 5 1075	29% 25% 446 73% 90% 842.75	249 209 449 729 919 1066	6 24% 6 21% 9 509 6 63% 6 87% 8 1049.75	24% 20% 418.5 75% 93% 1056.5	28% 23% 521 69%	18% 16% 365.75 66% 92% 1119.25	22% 20% 375.25 81% 95% 1105.75	24% 19% 447.25 73% 92% 1088	26% 23% 469.5 76% 92% 1046	26% 23% 468.5 77% 93% 1053.48	21% 17% 445 60% 88% 1057.25	22% 19% 425.3 68%

4.0 Recommendations

The board is requested to:

- Note the content of the report and the information presented in relation to the vacancy position, staffing fill rates and the workforce dashboard.
- Consider the potential impact of new agency rules on nursing staffing levels
- Note the mitigation that has been taken in the hotspot areas

Appendix One: July 2017 Workforce Dashboard

Lincoln County Hospital July 2017

					Safe Staffing Performance Dashboard - JULY 2017								
i denomentari i unua i i apartori de de la companione de la companione de la companione de la companione de la													
Ward Le	vel Staffing - Averag	e Fill Rates for m	onth JULY 2017										
		Actual Fill Ra	ates for Staffing			CHPPD	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	Patien	t Safety		512111011010	Patient Ex	nerience
	Da		Nij				Si's	Falls with Harm (Moderate, Severe and Death)	Grade 3/4	Med Errors	New ST Harm	Complaints	
	Average fill rate - registered nurses/midwives	Average fill rate - care staff	Average fill rate - registered nurses/midwives		Staffing Levels Vs Activity/Acuity		Data: Ward health	Death	PU	DATA: WARD HEALTH	DATA: WARD HEALTH	DATA: WARD	DATA: WARD HEALTH
SITE/ Ward	(%)	(%)	(%)	care staff (%)	Exeption report		Check	Data: DATIX	DATA: PUNT	CHECK	CHECK	CHECK	CHECK
	_				LINCOLN COUNTY HOSPITAL	and the state of	ureau eau		tizaturan saturi	the appropriate of	esatiesattesatt	Automorphism (Control	estilization (pentili
Ashby	93.0%	113.6%	100.0%	183.4%	Fill rates at [Night shifts] for HCSW correspond to enhanced care, High dependancy.	8.3	0	0	0	0	100.00%	0	100%
Bardney	102.2%	99.9%	104.5%	96.5%		12.1	1	0	0	0	100.00%	0	100%
Branston	92.6%	113.5%	98.4%	74.2%	Using alternate grad in the day and not back filling HCSW at night	15.5	0	0	0	0	100.00%	0	89%
Burton	87.2%	113.6%	87.3%	98.5%	Skill Mix - AP used to cover RN shifts in the day	5.6	o	0	0	4	100.00%	o	100%
Carlton Coleby	89.3%	99.1%	120.3%	100.1%	Enhanced Care - High Dependancy Temporary uplift in shifts has been agreed to assist with NIV service. This is being reecommended through the establishment review process	5.8	0	0	0	3	100.00%	o	89%
Clayton	85.5%	101.9%	97.6%	99.9%		6.0	0	0	0	1	100.00%	o	80%
Dixon	113.2%	98.1%	96.8%	99.8%	Optional RN Duties used appropriately as agreed via risk summit process.	5.2	1	0	1	3	100.00%	1	94%
Frailty Assessment Unit	67.9%	138.1%	77.4%	98.8%	Skill Mix - in recognition of high vacancies beds have been closed and alternate skill mixed used where appropriate	6.3	0	0	0	3	100	0	N/C
Greetwell	86.0%	95.4%	97.9%	98.4%		5.1	1	1	0	4	96.00%	0	87%
Hatton	100.5%	109.8%	108.2%	98.9%		8.1	0	0	0	5	100.00%	0	76%
ICU	85.7%	76.5%	79.7%	37.3%	HCSW not always replaced - not a new issue, suggest discussions re template	31.2	o	0	0	4	100.00%	0	N/C
Johnson	90.9%	97.2%	92.8%	95.2%		11.9	0	0	0	2	100.00%	0	100%
Lancaster	93.4%	99.0%	97.0%	98.4%		5.5	0	1	0	1	100.00%	0	96%
Navenby	90.8%	112.6%	92.6%	96.7%	Sighting Temporary Uplift to Template' - recommending this in establishment review	5.2	1	0	0	2	100.00%	1	63%
Nettleham	102.7%	97.7%	102.3%	98.0%		2.5	1	1	0	1	100.00%	IMPROVED BUILDING BOTTON	N/C
Neustadt Welton	89.0%	103.3%	94.6%	85.7%		5.7	1	0		0	STATE OF THE PARTY	0	8
Nocton	79.7%	76.3%	78.3%	97.8%	Shifts not sent to Bank - Several staff on Maternity leave	10.9	0	SERVICE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	0	STATE STATE OF THE	N/C	RESIDENCE OF SERVICE	N/C
Rainforest	97.4%	131.0%	94.2%	165.1%	Alternate grade used (skill mix)	11.1	0	professional consecution by	0	5	N/C	Market San San San San San	N/C
Scampton	91.4%	97.7%	92.0%	95.4%		5.7	0	FOR SEVERAL PLANTS OF THE SE		0	100.00%	0	
Shuttleworth	92.6%	102.1%	95.2%	98.3%		6.6	0	STEW SOLD STATE OF THE STATE OF	0		96.00%	0	
Stroke Unit	84.3%	95.6%	93.7%	98.2%		6.8	0.000000	0	0		96.00%	0	9
Waddington Unit MEAU	100.4% 91.6%	94.2%	102.5% 81.3%	100.0%		6.0	0	0	0		100.00%	1	94%
SEAU	91.6%	89.0% 100.5%	89.5%	100.0% 96.8%		7.5	0	Alternative and a second second	0		100.00%	1	74%
SEAU	32.370	100.5%	03.576	30.076		7.5	CONTRACTOR OF	O .	0	- 4	100.00%	1	74%

Pilgrim Hospital Boston July 2016

Ward Le	evel Staffing - Averag	e Fill Rates for m	nonth JULY 2017										
		Actual Fill Ra	ates for Staffing			CHPPD	MESING	Patien	t Safety			Patient Ex	nerience
	Da		Nie	ht	Staffing Levels Vs Activity/Aculty	CHIE	Si's	Falls with Harm (Moderate, Severe and	Grade 3/4	Med Errors	New ST Harm		
	Average fill rate - registered nurses/midwives	Average fill rate - care staff	Average fill rate - registered nurses/midwives	Average fill rate -			Data: Ward health			DATA: WARD HEALTH	DATA: WARD HEALTH	DATA: WARD HEALTH	DATA: WARD HEALTH
SITE/ Ward	(%)	(%)	(%)	care staff (%)	Exeption report PILGRIM HOSPITAL, BOSTON	naionamentona	Check	Data: DATIX	DATA: PUNT	CHECK	CHECK	CHECK	CHECK
Acute Cardiac Unit	80.2%	101.0%	80.1%	114.1%	HCSW shifts being used for Enhanced Care - High Dependancy	6.7	100000 A				100.000/		000
Labour Ward	94.7%	83.0%	99.3%	99.0%	HCSW Snifts being used for Enhanced Care - High Dependancy	31.3	and the M	0	0	1	100.00%	0	88% N/C
Neonatal	85.8%	110.4%	75.3%	136.5%	HCSW shifts being used where safe to cover RN gaps	18.5	0	1	0	0	N/C	STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	N/C
Stroke Unit	70.1%	112.3%	72.9%	107.7%	Skill mix and many shifts not sent to bank as a number of beds have been closed.	8.7	0		0	3	100.00%	CONTROL DE	78%
3A	130.8%	116.3%	96.8%	100.0%	Fill rates correspond to enhanced care and authorised use of additional staff to manage medical outliers	6.2	0	0	0	0	100.00%	1	86%
3B	86.5%	98.8%	93.6%	104.8%		5.6	1	2	0	2	100.00%	1	100%
4A	75.7%	65.0%	95.4%	48.9%	Shifts not sent to bank to fill - Suggest template review	17.2	0	0	0	3	N/C	0	N/C
5A	109.4%	110.9%	93.9%	114.4%	Fill rates correspond to enhanced care, High dependancy and acuity	7.2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	0	77%
5B	69.6%	117.8%	89.9%	121.5%	Alternate grade used (skill mix) - reviewing as part of establishment review	6.6	1	0	0	2	96.00%	0	100%
6A	78.5%	102.3%	93.8%	103.6%	Registered Day shifts remain unfilled by Bank/Agency	6.1	0	0	0	8	100.00%	1	100%
6B	78.8%	109.8%	98.0%	94.7%	Registered Day shifts remain unfilled by Bank/Agency	6.0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	0	100%
7A	84.8%	95.1%	100.0%	99.7%		5.3	1	2	0	5	100.00%	0	100%
7B	82.2%	109.4%	95.7%	112.5%	RN shifts in the Day not sent to Bank. HCSW fill rates correspond to high dependancy	7.3	0	0	0	1	96.00%	0	81%
8A	91.6%	98.3%	93.7%	104.1%		6.3	1	2	0	1	96.00%		92%
1B	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		12.4	0	0	0	2	N/C	0	N/C
AMU	67.8%	110.4%	88.9%	94.8%	Alternate grade used - high vacancies not filled by bank / agency	8.9	0	0	0	1	100.00%	1	81%
Bostonian	89.1%	93.8%	96.8%	125.2%	Fill rates reflect Enhanced Care - High Dependancy (brought to attention of HoN)	6.3	0	0	0		100.00%		84%
ICU	80.5%	72.8%	87.9%	-	Small HCSW team - not backfilled when absent	28.0	0	0	0	2	100.00%	0	N/C

Grantham Hospital July 2017

					Safe Staffing Performance Dashboard - JULY 2017								
Ward Le	evel Staffing - Averag	e Fill Rates for m	nonth JULY 2017										
	Actual Fill Rates for Staffing					СНРРО	Patient Safety					Patient Experience	
	Day		Night		Staffing Levels Vs Activity/Acuity		SI's	Falls with Harm (Moderate, Severe and Grade 3/4 Death) PU		Med Errors	New ST Harm	Complaints	FET
	Average fill rate - registered nurses/midwives	Average fill	Average fill rate - registered				Data: Ward health	Deathy	No. 10 Live Local Control Control Control	DATA: WARD HEALTH	DATA: WARD HEALTH	DATA: WARD	DATA:
SITE/Ward	(%)	(%)	(%)	_	Exeption report		I	Data: DATIX	DATA: PUNT	I	CHECK	CHECK	CHECK
пистемнопечнопечнопечнопечно	reaconemonemonemo	alteria olteria olteria o	пеннопеннопеннопен	ione anione anione anion	GRANTHAM DISTRICT HOSPITAL	nenunanan muan		enenimenenimenenim	armorarmorarn			ornimiornimiorn	102020102020
Ward 1	97.8%	91.5%	94.5%	95.2%		6.4	0	0	0	5	100%	0	096
Ward 2	93.2%	109.9%	83.8%	89.7%		6.1	0	0	0	0	100.00%	0	93%
Ward 6	100.5%	95.0%	100.0%	98.4%		8.3	0	0	0	1	100.00%	0	89%
EAU	86.0%	87.3%	97.2%	93.3%		7.4	0	0	0	5	100.00%	0	92%
Acute Care Unit	104.7%	113.6%	92.2%	-	Supernumary - Phased return hours for HCSW staff member	16.8	1	0	0	0	100.00%	0	100%