

Risk Register Ref Strategic Outcon		ne Strategic Risk	Potential Cause and Impact	Grade (including change in risk)		Target Key Controls score		Mitigating actions	Board Assurrance Framework Three Lines of Defence			Gaps in control assurance	Completion Date for Actions Responsible Executive	•	Board Committee	Escalation
				L S	Rating		_		First	Second	Third					
)1:1.1 P	Positive patient experience	Consistently high Failure to provide good quality and safe service	quality and safe patient care         Cause       ✓ Uncontrolled urgent care demand, exceeding capacity         ✓ Efficiency programme impact upon safety or reduce patient safety         ✓ Inadequate staffing levels         Impact         ✓ Poor patient experience and standards of care         ✓ Loss of reputation         ✓ Financial penalties         ✓ Regulatory intervention/action		4 16 Very High Risk	12	Quality Strategy	SQD/safety thermometer data RCA of SUIs Ward triangulation metrics Daily review of nurse staffing Falls reduction plan Sepsis reduction plan Sepsis reduction plan Specialty governance reviews Hygiene improvement plan Tday service plan Patient safety walk rounds Whistleblowing policy Nursing workforce plan Urgent care delivery plan including beds Clinical Audit Plan Ward Accreditation	Quality metrics in monthly business unit reviews     Quality Strategy	Quality report to Board     Audit of Quality Account     Reports from HR and OD Committee     Annual nursing review     Patient experience, safety and mortality committee reports escalating to QGC     Patient Safety Meetings	Reports from QGC to Board Reported elsewhere Quality monitoring with CCG NHSI external review (IDM) Contract quality review with CCG	Gaps in control Implementation of hygiene improvement plan, housekeeping resource QIAs not yet completed Gaps in assurance Insufficient backlog maintenance investment Absence of investment in 7 day service plan Unclear role of CEC for accountability	2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme Board.	Director of Nursing	Quality Safety Committee	No char
02:2.1 O	Openness and ransparency		Taive organisation  Cause  ✓ Failure to meet quality strategy standards ✓ Inadequately maintained or obsolete infrastructure ✓ Harm or error resulting from a failure to meet safe and responsive standards	3	4 12 High Risk	9	Clinical Governance	Compliance targets     Clinical Strategy/LHAC/STP     Nurse recruitment and retention plans     Service review programme     Patient experience strategy     Patient experience committee     Staff engagement plan     Leadership programme	Patient Safety and Clinical Effectiveness Assurance Repor Quality Report. Medicines Safety Report.	STP/LHAC/MTP update     Reports from HR and OD Committee     Reports from FSID     HR/OD report	Reported elsewhere  • LHAC Programme Board  • Patient experience committee reports to QGC	Gaps in control  • LHAC implementation delayed • Service review programme just initiated • Key care pathways	Hospital delivery and market share milestones for the 2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme	Medical Director and Director of Nursing	Quality Safety Committee	
			Impact  ✓ Poor CQC rating  ✓ Loss of reputation  ✓ Regulatory intervention/action  ✓ Significant failure of services due to prolonged loss of infrastructure					<ul> <li>Job planning</li> <li>Appraisals</li> <li>Service improvement programme</li> </ul>				not yet identified for review (STP)  • Developing performance framework  Gaps in assurance  • STP governance structure  • Clinical Strategy implementation governance arranged				No cha
03:3.1 E	Efficient and	Services shaped a Service delivery failure	Cause  ✓ Failure to recognise and implement change  ✓ Failure of clinical services to plan for the future and failure to modernise major care pathways  ✓ Failure to recognise and manage the resistance to change  ✓ Failure to recruit to high levels of skilled medical staff  ✓ Failure to change and implement new and emerging medical technology  ✓ Failure to communicate change  Impact  ✓ Unsustainable services  ✓ Poor patient experience  ✓ Poor delivery of performance standards  ✓ Failure to take account of what patients		4 16 Very High Risk	12	Maintaining service delivery	Quality Governance Compliance     Clinical Governance arrangements     Perfiodically review fragile services     Develop service review programme (GIRTH) with supporting action plans     Strengthening clinical arrangements     Patient Experience Committee review     Developing and implementing Clinical Strategy     Developing the Engagement Strategy for the 2021     Analysis of complaints and incidents     Performance clinics/reviews     Report to Regulators     Working with the STPs to align and integrate services     Workforce recruitment and training     Developing staff succession plans	Clinical Governance Review     Performance Review     Service Reviews	Trust Board Committees - FSIE QGC, WF&OD  CMB / CEC / ET  Medical Utilisation Group  CSIG  Contracting Assurance  CCG Reporting Assurance	D, • SET • LCB • NHS I / NHS E	Gaps in control  Not having an holistic review of services Integrated information to provide a joined up picture at service line level  Gaps in assurance Local governance Not having an agreed Clinical Strategy	Completion of Clinical Redesign by milestones for the 2021 Programme highlighted in the 2021 Strategy in October 2017	Medical Director	Finance, Service Improvement and Delivery Committee	
	effective services	Failure to provide and maintain as statutorily required, premises where care and treatment are delivered from that are clean, suitable for the intended purpose, maintained and where required, appropriately located, in	want ✓ Failure to plan for the changing demand of services for increasing morbitity and ageing services  Cause ✓ Failure to plan effectively to deliver the built environment required for modern services		4 16 Very High Risk	12	1. Backlog/ Maintenance Capital and Revenue Investment  2. Estates Strategy	<ul> <li>Delivery of 17/18 capital backlog investment programme.</li> <li>Development of 5 and 10 year capital backlog investment programmes.</li> <li>Delivery of 17/18 revenue maintenance resources.</li> <li>Development of medium term on-going revenue resource plans.</li> <li>Finalisation of Technical Estates Strategy from draft status.</li> <li>Estates Strategy alignment with Clinical Strategy, includin input to STP requirements.</li> <li>Sale of land to release resources.</li> <li>Re-quantification of backlog maintenance scale to support</li> </ul>	through estates program governance and Estates Committee reporting to FSID.	reporting to Trust IPB.  2. Progress Reporting to Estate Environment Committee & LHAC Estates Programme Board.  3. Progress Reporting to Estate	Reporting requirements through NHS PAM – for Trust Board Governance, National Estates performance data submissions (ERIC) and Lord Carter estates productivity and efficiency.	capital / revenue to quickly resolve significant risks and high levels of backlog • Estates Strategy not complete • Clinical strategy finalisation informing estates plar	plan 17/18 financial year 2. Estates Strategy finalisation 2017/18, 17/18, backlog re quantification 17/18 Q2. 3. Revenue Compliance Plan 17/18 and on-going 4. EFM Quality 17/18	Director of Estates and Facilities	Finance, Service Improvement and Delivery Committee	

	other statutory lega duties.	Impact  ✓ Unsustainable services in Lincolnshire  ✓ Loss of income  ✓ Loss of reputation  Potential to harm patients, Staff and Visitors, including prolonged outage and loss of clinical facility impacting on patient safety.  Failure to comply with legal requirements leading to prosecution.			Assurance Delivery of Revenue Compliance Plan  4. Quality Governance Assurance	<ul> <li>Electrical Infrastructure.</li> <li>Mechanical Infrastructure.</li> <li>Water Safety.</li> <li>Asbestos Management.</li> <li>Fire Safety.</li> </ul> EFM Quality Patient Environment - food/ cleaning/ physical environment <ul> <li>Energy and Sustainability</li> </ul>	al		Programme management resources Compliance evidence capture limited by revenue availability			
S04 Strategic Objective	e: Skilled, competent	and motivated workforce										
S04:4.1 Sustainable service delivery	Failure to sustain		\ H	20 12 Very High Risk	People Strategy + Workforce Plans	Appraisal system Core learning Revised approached to medical and nurse recruitment - key priority for Trust in 2017/18 Engagement programme Leadership charter Leadership development programme Engagement plan for medical staff Job plans Collective action in the East Midlands and continued efforts to turn locums into permanent members of staff to mitigate IR35	five year focus on right numbers of people with right skills. People Strategy Work Programme) sets out the actions to deliver the Strategy. KPIs have been	Workforce and OD Committee     Workforce Report     Updates on progress on People     Strategy     Annual nurse establishment     review     Pulse check review by ET     Work of Medical and Nursing     Workforce Utilisation Groups -     reviewed by ET		milestones for the 2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme Board.	Workforce and Organisational Development Committee	inge
S05 Strategic Objective:	Performance Improve	ement										
S05:5.1 Continuous improvement		Cause  ✓Low levels of engagement, health and well being and satisfaction  ✓Inadequate training, appraisals and development  ✓Inadequate recognition of staff  ✓Non adherence to Trust values and behaviours  ✓Inconsistent leadership  ✓challenges caused by changes to tax arrangements for personal companies (IR35)  Impact  ✓Poor patient experience and outcomes  ✓Loss of reputation  ✓Poor recruitment and retention prospects  ✓Poor CQC results	\ H	7ery ligh Risk		<ul> <li>Engagement activities around 2021 - vision &amp; values</li> <li>Listening &amp; Responding to Staff Task &amp; Finish Group</li> <li>Leadership development</li> <li>Recognition strategies</li> <li>Effective appraisals</li> <li>Broader communications work</li> </ul>	of 2021) with five year focus on right numbers of people with right skills, motivated and managed to perform at their best. People Strategy Work Programme developed which sets out actions to be taken to deliver Strategy. Output from staff survey	Workforce Report to Workforce and OD Committee     Regular staff surveys - national and local pulse checks     Medical engagement index to be re-run     Staff engagement group meets regularly to review our approach		be monitored through the 2021 Programme Board.	Workforce and Organisational Development Committee  No char	inge
S05:5.2 Continuous improvement	Failure to maintain operational performance	Cause  √Failure to deliver contractual/national performance targets  √Failure to collect and report accurate data √Insufficient workforce to meet demand  √Demand exceeds available capacity  Impact  √Poor quality and patient experience  √Loss of reputation  √Failure to meet contractual obligations  √Loss of STF and/or fines/penalties  √Intervention	\ H	7ery High Risk	Performance Management	<ul> <li>Performance Management Framework</li> <li>Constitutional Standards</li> <li>Data Quality Strategy</li> <li>RTT</li> <li>Demand and Capacity Review</li> <li>Workforce Planning</li> <li>Agency workforce ready review</li> <li>Contract Delivery Plan</li> <li>RTT Recovery and Delivery Group</li> <li>Speciality Recovery Action Plans</li> <li>Cancer</li> <li>Cancer Improvement Plan</li> <li>Cancer Operational Committee</li> <li>Cancer Recovery and Delivery Group</li> <li>Urgent Care</li> <li>Urgent Care Improvement Plan</li> <li>Bed Capacity Plan</li> <li>Urgent Care Recovery and Delivery Group</li> <li>Regional Escalation System</li> <li>A&amp;E Delivery Group</li> </ul>	Clinical Directorate     Performance Reviews     Contract Assurance Board     Monthly NHI Performance Review Meetings     A&E Delivery Board	Performance Review     FSID report to Board     Contraction  Cont	Gaps in control  Insufficient workforce to meet demand Insufficient investment to match resources to demand Insufficient bed capacity Appropriate Clinica Leadership  Gaps in assurance Data Quality reporting	d al	Finance, Service Improvement and Delivery Committee  No chai	inge
S06 Strategic Objective S06:6.1 Value for money	Failure to achieve		5 4	20 12	Long Term	Working Capital Plan	Performance Accountability	• FSID report to Board • FIMS re	eturn to NHSI Gaps in control	2017/20 Financial Director of	Finance, Service	
	financial sustainability	Failure to deliver the long term financial plan Failure to manage historic debt Failure to deliver required levels of efficiency gain Loss of market share/failure to regain market share Failure to deliver contract with CCGs including application of financial penalties Failure to control agency costs Failure to deliver the STF Loss of financial control Failure to plan for unforeseen events - e.g. fire Failure to gain clinical engagement	\ H	/ery ligh Risk	Financial Plan (2021 and STP)	Agreement of long term financial model - Financial Recovery Plan Lines of financial accountability Financial reporting to CEC, CMB, FSID and TB Contract delivery plan Urgent care delivery plan Cancer, A&E plans Efficiency programme Service Review Programme Agency reduction plan	Management Reporting  • Financial Performance Report  • Financial Recovery Plan  • Financial Turnaround Group  • Finance Grip and Control	<ul> <li>Contract Assurance Board</li> <li>Agency spend performance</li> <li>review by ET</li> <li>Financial Recovery Plan</li> <li>CCGs</li> <li>STP Fin</li> <li>Perform</li> <li>(NHSI)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Financial</li> <li>Management suppoto</li> <li>to Directorates</li> <li>Gaps in delivery of</li> <li>Finance Recovery</li> <li>Plan</li> </ul>	Recovery Plan to October Board and NHSI submission 31st October Implementation of 2017/18 Financial Recovery Plan 30th November	Improvement and Delivery Committee  No char	ange

Impact     Organisational continuity of services     Trust goes into financial special measures with external intervention and regulatory action     Insufficient cash to meet liabilities and impact on operational services     Individual services not sustainable with potential for closing services with detrimental impact on patients     Loss of reputation	I Idiliewoin	Governance in development	
2 Loss of reputation			

Key

## Risk Rating Key / Source - Risk Management Policy

Likelihood									
Almost Certain	Low risk	Moderate risk	Very high risk	Very high risk	<u>Very high risk</u>				
- 5	5	10	15	20	<u>25</u>				
Likely – 4	Low risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	<u>Very high risk</u>	<u>Very high risk</u>				
	4	8	12	<u>16</u>	<u>20</u>				
Possible – 3	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	<u>High risk</u>	<u>Very high risk</u>				
	3	6	9	<u>12</u>	<u>15</u>				
Unlikely – 2	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	<u>High risk</u>	<u>High risk</u>				
	2	4	6	<u>8</u>	10				
Rare – 1	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk				
	1	2	3	4	5				
	Negligible – 1	Minor – 2	Moderate – 3	Major – 4	Catastrophic - 5				
	Severity								

Lead officers will be asked to verify the status of each risk identified within the Assurance Framework and the following colours will identify whether a risk has been updated.

