United Lincolnshire Hospitals
NHS Trust

2016/17 Assurance Framework: March 2017

Ref	Stı	rategic Outcome	Strategic Risk		Grade (includir risk)	Target score	Key Controls	Mitigating actions	Three Lines of Defence			Gaps in control/assurance	Completion Date for Actions	Responsible Director	Escalation
					L !	S Rating			First	Second	Third	-			
<b>S01</b> S01:	:1.1 Po	ositive patient perience	Consistently high Failure to provide good quality and safe service	Cause	4	4 16  Very High Risk	Quality Strategy	SQD/safety thermometer data RCA of SUIs Ward triangulation metrics Daily review of nurse staffing Falls reduction plan Sepsis reduction plan Sepcialty governance reviews Hygiene improvement plan 7 day service plan Patient safety walkrounds Whistleblowing policy Nursing workforce plan Urgent care delivery plan including beds	Quality metrics in monthly business unit reviews     Quality Strategy	Quality report to Board     Audit of Quality Account     Reports from HR and OD     Committee     Annual nursing review     Patient experience, safety and mortality committee reports escalating to QGC     Patient Safety Meetings	Reports from QGC to Board Reported elsewhere Quality monitoring with CCG NHSI external review (IDM) Contract quality review with CCG	hygiene improvement plan, housekeeping resource • QIAs not yet completed  Gaps in assurance	milestones for the 2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme	Director of Nursing	No change
S02	Ct	rategic Objective: A	clinically responsity	organisation											
S02:	:2.1 Op	penness and ensparency	Failure to provide		3	4 12 High Risk	Clinical Governance	Clinical Strategy/LHAC/STP Nurse recruitment and retention plans Service review programme Patient experience strategy Patient experience committee Staff engagement plan Leadership programme Job planning Appraisals Service improvement programme	Patient Safety and Clincial Effectiveness Assurance Report Quality Report. Medicines Safety Report.	STP/LHAC/MTP update     Reports from HR and OD Committee     Reports from FSID     HR/OD report	Reported elsewhere  • LHAC Programme Board  • Patient experience committee reports to QGC	delayed • Service review programme just initiated		Chief Operating Officer	No change
S03 S03:				und patients needs Cause	4	4 16	Clinical Strategy	Clinical Strategy/LHAC/STP	LHAC Programme Board	STP/LHAC/MTP update	Reported elsewhere	Gaps in control	Completion of	Medical Director	
	eff	fective services	change / transformation	<ul> <li>✓ Failure to deliver the Trust's clinical strategy/LHAC</li> <li>✓ Failure of clinical services to plan for the future and failure to modernise major care pathways</li> <li>Impact</li> <li>✓ Unsustainable services</li> <li>✓ Poor patient experience</li> <li>✓ Poor delivery of performance standards</li> </ul>		Very High Risk		<ul> <li>Nurse recruitment and retention plans</li> <li>Service review programme</li> <li>Patient experience strategy</li> <li>Patient experience committee</li> <li>Staff engagement plan</li> <li>Leadership programme</li> <li>Job planning</li> <li>Appraisals</li> <li>Service improvement programme</li> </ul>	Patient experience committee reports to QGC CSIG	<ul> <li>Reports from HR and OD Committee</li> <li>Reports from FSID</li> <li>HR/OD report</li> <li>CSIG</li> </ul>	LHAC Programme Board     Patient experience committee reports to QGC	LHAC implementation delayed     Trust's medium term plan not yet finalised     Service review programme just initiated     Key care pathways not yet identified for review (STP)      Gaps in assurance     STP governance structure     Clinical Strategy implementation governance arranged	Clinical Strategy milestones for the 2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme Board.		No change
S03:		fective services	Failure to mainain effective partnerships	Cause  ✓ Failure to plan collectively with local CCGs, Providers and Network providers  ✓ Failure to secure collaborative provision of service  ✓ Failure to provide adequate support for education  ✓ Failure to foster good potential relationships  Impact  ✓ Unsustainable services in Lincolnshire  ✓ Loss of income  ✓ Loss of reputation	3	4 12 High Risk	Communication Strategy	Developing partnership working.     Stakeholder management	STP meetings     Governance Framework	Monthly updates to the Trust Board including progress against key controls.	Reported through the 2 Year Operational Plan	wider STP communication plan • Alignment to the Trust's 2 Year Opreational Plan adn 5 Year Strategy  Gaps in assurance	Communication Plan milestones for the 2021 Programme which will		No change

S03:3.3 Efficient and effective services	Failure to provide and maintain as statutorily required premises where care and treatmen are delivered from that are clean, suitable for the intended purpose, maintained and where required, appropriately located, in accordance with th NHS Constitution, CQC regulations and other statutory legal duties.	Failure to plan effectively to deliver the built environment required for modern services √Failure to meet built environment statutory standards and best practice guidance √Failure to deliver a rolling programme of improvements √Failure to align current estates model to future clinical redesign Failure to invest in the built environment infrastructure to a sufficient level in both capital replacement and revenue maintenance over a prolonged period to ensure safety and reliability is assured	4 4	Very High Risk	1. Backlog/ Maintenance Capital and Revenue Investment  2. Estates Strategy  3. Safety Governance Assurance Delivery of Revenue Compliance Plan  4. Quality Governance Assurance Assurance	<ul> <li>Development of 17/18 and 5 year capital backlog investment programmes.</li> <li>Delivery of 16/17 revenue maintenance resources.</li> <li>Development of 17/18 and on-going revenue resources plans.</li> <li>Delivery of Draft Technical Estates Strategy.</li> <li>Estates Strategy alignment with Clinical Strategy, including input to LHAC.</li> <li>Sale of land to release resources.</li> <li>Re-quantification of backlog maintenance scale to support investment planning.</li> <li>Electrical Infrastructure.</li> </ul>		1. Estates Capital Progress reporting to Trust IPB. 2. Progress Reporting to Estates Environment Committee & LHAC Estates Programme Board. 3. Progress Reporting to Estates Environment Committee, Trust IPC and Trust HSC. 4. Progress Reporting to Estates Environment Committee & Trusts Sustainable Development Committee.  1,2,3 &4 Estates Committee report to FSID. 1,2,3 &4 Estates National Reporting requirements through NHS PAM – for Trust Board Governance, National Estates performance data submissions (ERIC) and Lord Carter estates productivity and efficiency.	• Inadequate backlog maintenance funding capital/ revenue • Estates Strategy not complete • LHAC implementation/ clinical strategy delayed • Re quantification of backlog maintenance not yet complete  Gaps in assurance • Programme management resources • Compliance evidence capture limited by revenue availability  1. 16/17 financial year 2. draft 2016/17, Land Sales 16/17, 17/18, backlog re quantification 16/17 fin year 3. Revenue Compliance Plan 16/17 and on-going 4. EFM Quality 16/17 & on-going Energy and Sustainability 16/17 & On-going	Facilities	No change
204											
	Failure to sustain	e Cause  Poor workforce planning  Poor workforce intelligence systems  Recruitment and retention difficulties in  "hard to get" skills  Poor recognition and reward mechanisms  Absence of new ways of working  Impact  Failure to deliver sufficient capacity to meet contracted obligation  Poor patient experience and outcomes  Poor CQC rating, regulatory action  Loss of reputation	4 4	Very High Risk	People Strategy + Workforce Plans	Appraisal system Core learning Revised approached to medical and nurse recruitment - key priorty for Trust in 2017/18 Engagement programme Leadership charter Leadership development programme Engagement plan for medical staff Job plans	five year focus on right numbers	Workforce and OD Committee Workforce Report Updates on progress on People Strategy     Annual nurse establishment review     Pulse check review by ET Work of Medical and Nursing Workforce Utilisation Groups - reviewed by ET	Gaps in control  • Low appraisal and core learning compliance  Gaps in assurance  • Lack of assurance and compliance with Trust values and behaviours  • Medical staff improvement programme  Completion of Workforce Planning milestones for the 2021  Programme to be monitored through the 2021  Programme Board.	Director of HR	No change
S05 Strategic Objective:	: Performance Improv	rement									
S05:5.1 Continuous improvement	Failure to sustain an engaged workforce	Cause  ✓ Low levels of engagement, health and well being and satisfaction  ✓ Inadequate training, appraisals and development  ✓ Inadequate recognition of staff  ✓ Non adherence to Trust values and behaviours  ✓ Inconsistent leadership  Impact  ✓ Poor patient experience and outcomes  ✓ Loss of reputation  ✓ Poor recruitment and retention prospects  ✓ Poor CQC results	3 4	High Risk	Staff Engagement Plans within People Strategy	Engagement activities around 2021 - vision & values Listening & Responding to Staff Task & Finish Group     Leadership development     Recognition strategies     Effective appraisals     Broader communications work	of 2021) with five year focus on right numbers of people with right skills, motivated and managed to perform at their best. People Strategy Work Programme developed which sets out actions to be taken to deliver Strategy. Output from staff survey (engagement scores increasing) will drive strategy and actions. KPis agreed and engagement index will feature in it. Engagement around 2021 vision and values a priority. Annual Workforce Plan supports this. Seeking additional HR resources	<ul> <li>Regular staff surveys - national and local pulse checks</li> <li>Medical engagement index to be re-run</li> <li>Staff engagement group meets regularly to review our approach</li> </ul>	• Currently shaping and setting up the 2021 Programme to deliver the MTP priorities.  • Gaps in assurance • Completion of Staff Engagement milestones for the 2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme Board.		No change
S05:5.2 Continuous improvement	Failure to maintain operational performance	Cause  ✓ Failure to deliver contractual/national performance targets  ✓ Failure to reduce delayed transfers of care  ✓ Failure to collect and report accurate data   Impact  ✓ Intervention  ✓ Loss of STF and/or fines/penalties  ✓ Loss of reputation  ✓ Poor quality and patient experience  ✓ Failure to meet contractual obligations	4 4	Very High Risk	Performance Management	<ul> <li>Urgent care plan (including bed capacity)</li> <li>Lincolnshire SRG</li> <li>Performance targets for CCGs/other providers in 2016/17 contract</li> <li>Contract delivery plan</li> <li>Winter plan</li> <li>Urgent care improvement programme (including beds and QF3)</li> <li>Cancer improvement plan</li> <li>Performance Management Framework</li> <li>Agreed local trajectories in contract with dependent target for CCSs/other trusts</li> <li>SRG recovery plans</li> <li>Data Quality Strategy</li> </ul>	Performance Framework  • Contract Assurance Board  • Business Unit business review meetings  • SRG minutes  • Planned Care Board	Performance Review     FSID report to Board      CCGs Contracting	• Insufficient bed capacity • No market repatriation plan • Unclear lines of accountability for CDs • Below trajectory perfin Q2 for cancer, A&E and Diagnostics. High risk of non delivery of RTT in July  Gaps in assurance • Data Quality reporting  2016/17 urgent delivery plan agreed and resolved including bed plans.  CMG/CEC role dfinition to be considered by TB Revised opening hours for GDH A&E to release Med staff		No change

S06:6.	Value for money	Failure to achieve	<u>Cause</u>	5	4	20	Financial Strategy (2021 and	Working Capital Strategy	<ul> <li>Performance Management</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Contract Assurance Board</li> </ul>	CCGs	Gaps in control	2017-19	Director of	
		financial	<ul> <li>Failure to deliver the financial plan</li> </ul>				STP)	Agreement of long term financial model.	Escalation	Agency spend performance		<ul> <li>Financial</li> </ul>	Operational	Finance	
		sustainability	Failure to manage historic debt			Very	·	Financial Strategy	<ul> <li>Financial performance report</li> </ul>	review by ET		Management support	and Financial		
			• Failure to deliver required levels of efficiency			High	Two-year Operational and	Lines of financial accountability	<ul> <li>FSID report to Board</li> </ul>	FIMS return to NHSI		to Directorates	Plan to March		
			gain			Risk	Financial Plan	Financial reporting to CEC, FSID and TB	<ul> <li>Efficiency programme update</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Efficiency programme overview</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>IR35 implmentation</li> </ul>	FSID and April		
			Loss of market share/failure to regain					Contract delivery plan	Performance report	by ET, CEC and CMB		<ul> <li>Gaps in delivery of</li> </ul>	TB, escalation		
			market share				Performance Framework	Urgent care delivery plan	• FIP	Financial report to ET		efficiency programme	to NHSI (March	n	
			Failure to deliver contract with CCGs					Cancer, A&E plans		• IDM (NHSI)		<ul> <li>Long term efficiency</li> </ul>			
			including application of financial penalties					Efficiency programme		• Regular financial input to CMB /	' <b> </b>	programme not	review)		
			Failure to control agency costs					Business Unit review programme		CEC		identified			Harana da la alata
			Failure to deliver the STF					Agency reduction plan		<ul> <li>STF mitigation plan required</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Agency costs off</li> </ul>			Upgrade in risk
			Loss of financial control					Liquidity plans agreed				trajectory for nursing			score
			<u>Impact</u>					• FIP				<ul> <li>No market</li> </ul>			
			Trust goes into special measures with					Nursing recruitment strategy				repatriation strategy			
			external intervention and regulatory action					Medical staff strategy							
			Insufficient cash to meet liabilities and									Gaps in assurance			
			impact on operational services									<ul> <li>I &amp;E forecast</li> </ul>			
			Individual services not sustainable									2016/17			
			Loss of reputation									<ul> <li>Failure to achieve</li> </ul>			
			Loos of Topalation									STF Funding			

Kev

Risk Rating Key / Source - Risk Management Policy

	Severity								
	Negligible – 1	Minor – 2	Moderate – 3	Major – 4	Catastrophic - 5				
Rare – 1	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk				
	1	2	3	4	5				
Unlikely – 2	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	<u>High risk</u>	<u>High risk</u>				
	2	4	6	<u>8</u>	<u>10</u>				
Possible – 3	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	<u>High risk</u>	<u>Very high risk</u>				
	3	6	9	<u>12</u>	<u>15</u>				
Likely – 4	Low risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	<u>Very high risk</u>	<u>Very high risk</u>				
	4	8	12	<u>16</u>	<u>20</u>				
Almost Certain	Low risk	Moderate risk	Very high risk	Very high risk	<u>Very high risk</u>				
- 5	5	10	15	20	<u>25</u>				
Likelihood									

Lead officers will be asked to verify the status of each risk identified within the Assurance Framework and the following colours will identify whether a risk has been updated.



