# Workforce Race Equality Standard REPORTING TEMPLATE

### Template for completion

Name of provider organisation

UNITED LINCOLNSHIRE HOSPITALS NHS TRUST

Name and title of Board lead for the Workforce Race Equality Standard

IAN WARREN

Name and contact details of lead manager compiling this report

RICHARD WATSON. richard watson@ulh.nhs.uk

Names of commissioners this report has been sent to

LWCCG, SWLCCG, LECCG, SLCCG

Name and contact details of co-ordinating commissioner this report has been sent to

Unique URL link on which this report will be found (to be added after submission)

This report has been signed off by on behalf of the Board on (insert name and date) IAN WARREN



Date of report: month/year

2015

April



### Report on the WRES indicators

### 1. Background narrative

a. Any issues of completeness of data

Indicator 4, current recording of non Mandatory training at individual level required initial data to be manually checked against enthnicy.

b. Any matters relating to reliability of comparisons with previous years

None in comparison

### 2. Total numbers of staff

a. Employed within this organisation at the date of the report

845

b. Proportion of BME staff employed within this organisation at the date of the report

10.8 %

# Report on the WRES indicators, continued

#### Self reporting

a. The proportion of total staff who have self-reported their ethnicity

98.6 %

- b. Have any steps been taken in the last reporting period to improve the level of self-reporting by ethnicity Trust wide project to roll out ESR self-service to enable direct reporting
- c. Are any steps planned during the current reporting period to improve the level of self reporting by ethnicity Trust wide project to roll out ESR self-service to enable direct reporting is ongoing as a phased project

#### Workforce data

a. What period does the organisation's workforce data refer to?

2014 - 2015

## 5. Workforce Race Equality Indicators

For ease of analysis, as a guide we suggest a maximum of 150 words per indicator.

4		ω	2	-		
Relative likelihood of BME staff accessing non-mandatory training and CPD as compared to White staff	formal disciplinary investigation* *Note: this indicator will be based on data from a two year rolling average of the current year and the previous year.	Relative likelihood of BME staff entering the formal disciplinary process, compared to that of White staff entering the formal disciplinary process, as measured by entry into a	Relative likelihood of BME staff being appointed from shortlisting compared to that of White staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts.	Percentage of BME staff in Bands 8-9, VSM (including executive Board members and senior medical staff) compared with the percentage of BME staff in the overall workforce	For each of these four workforce indicators, the Standard compares the metrics for White and BME staff.	Indicator
10.3 %	10.5 % progress to nearing	14-15 122 % BME entering investigation		18 13 4% 18 13 4		Data for reporting year
N A	9% progress to nearing	13-14 9.8% BME entering investigation		13.8%		Data for previous year
169/1638 completed course entries by BME staff consistent with 10.8% of workforce	NB 33% reduction in total number of investigations in 14-15	Overall increase in % to current year. Number progressing to hearing is consistent with workforce %		Inclusion of senior medics (Clinical Directors) is significant contribution to proportion.		Narrative – the implications of the data and any additional background explanatory narrative
OD team to produce future report inclusive of ethnicity		Revised HR structure from 2015 and alignment of HR staff to sites with emphasis on informal resolution of Disciplinary matters to minimise total number of investigations.	Board sign off of Recruitment plans to encourage BME applicants	Board sign off of Recruitment plans to encourage BME applicants		Action taken and planned including e.g. does the indicator link to EDS2 evidence and/or a corporate Equality Objective

# Report on the WRES indicators, continued

the NHS Staff Survey

Note 2. Please refer to the Technical Guidance for darification on the precise means of each indicator.

# Report on the WRES indicators, continued

6. Are there any other factors or data which should be taken into consideration in assessing progress? Please Commissioner or by regulators when inspecting against the "well led domain." bear in mind any such information, action taken and planned may be subject to scrutiny by the Co-ordinating

further developed its engagement strategy for 2015-2015 with the appointment of site engagement leads and a programme of 'Engagement the ULH way' with constant quarterly evaluation externally assessed Action taken during reporting period was the Trusts Listening into Action programme seeking engagement with all staff groups. The Trust has

If the organisation has a more detailed Plan agreed by its Board for addressing these and related issues you are asked to attach it or provide a link to it. Such a plan would normally elaborate on the steps summarised in section 5 above setting out the next steps with milestones for expected progress against the metrics. It may also identify the links with other work streams agreed at Board level such as EDS2.

Actions identified are linked within the Trusts Equality action plan